

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
34,155	04/27/79	Robert E. Fischell	Sp153

Robert E. Archibald Applied Physics Laboratories, John Hopkins Road, Laurel, MD. 20810

EXAMINER F. Jaworski ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS DATE MAILED:

CLERICAL BRANCH

MAR 1 3 1980

This application has been examined.	Responsive to communication fi	ed on June 11, 1979	GROUP 330 This action is made final.
A shortened statutory period for response to Feilure to respond within the period for resp	o this action is set to expire action to be	month(s), 30 days from abandoned. 35 U.S.C.	rom the date of this letter. 133
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMEN	NT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION	:	
1. Notice of References Cited, Form	PTO-892. 2.	Notice of Informal Patent Draw	ing, PTO-948.
3. Notice of Informal Patent Applica	ition, Form PTO-152. 4.		<u> </u>
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION			
1. [claims / - 5-7]		a	re pending in the application.
Of the above, claims	······································	at	re withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims		h	ave been cancelled.
3. Claims		a	re allowed.
4. Claims		a	re rejected.
5. Claims			re objected to.
6. [Claims /-15; 16-3	26; 27-41; 42-46,	57-54; 47-50 are subject to re	striction or election requirement.
7. The formal drawings filed on		are acceptable.	
8. The drawing correction request fil	ed on	has been a	pproved.
9. Acknowledgment is made of the c	laim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119	. The certified copy has	
been received. not be	en received.	nt application, serial no	,
	filed on		
	e in condition for allowance except f Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 (		s to the merits is closed in ac-
11. Other			•

PTOL-326 (rev. 7-79)

**EXAMINER'S ACTION** 

## Restriction Requirement

Restriction is required under 35 USC 121 between the following inventions:

- I. Claims 1-1# which are drawn to an implantable dosing device, classified in class 128.213R.
- II. Claims 15-26 which are drawn to a medicinal pump having an electric motor and collapsing wall movement, classified in Class 417 /412.
- III. Claims 27-41 directed to a programmable infusion system classified in class 128/213R.
- IV. Claims 42-46,51-54 which are drawn to a method and apparatus for patient interactive medicinal infusion, classified inclass 128/213R.
- V. Claims 47-50 directed to a method of placing medicaments beneath the skin, classifiable in 128/215.

The inventions as above-grouped are distinct because claims directed to the implantable dosing device (2), the plate-and-bellows pump (28), the implant command circuitry (30) and charging (9) and communicating (30) assemblies, the patient interactive unit (400) and method of programming the same, and the method (7,4) of depositing medicaments beneath the skin have utility in and of themsleves and could be each usable with other and in other relation with devices of a different but compatible type.

In addition to the above showing of distinctness a burden is placed on the office (see MPEP 808.02) because each of the above categories requires at least some separate areas of search, for example Group I would would require search of class 128/632 device which diagnose and respond to ,body liquid of I.V. dosing device 128/214.4, and of depositors/applicators class 128/260; Group II must be searched for the reservoir, valving and pressure monitoring features in class 417, pumps; Group III would require search for command & telemetry features among telemetry-controlled pacemakers (128/419P) and, neuromuscular stimulators (128/422); Group IV requires search of programming methods in medicine and health (Class 364/413); Group V includes search of Class 128/213R.

Also inventions regarding fluidic components, e.g. Group I features enjoy a separate status within the art from electronic control features, e.g. Group III. .

To be complete applicant's response must include an election of one of the above identified inventions even though the requirement is traversed.

It is noted that the claims of Group I include several species of the generic invention; for example the body implant, Figs.2-4 and the alternative embodiment skull implant, see specification, page 19, bottom paragraph. If this group is elected, the applicant is further required to elect a single disclosed species, as identified above, and indicate the claims readable thereon.

F. Jaworski: bn (W) 703-557-3144 03/07/80

ROBERT W. MICHELL S.P.E.

Rhut W. mickell

GROUP ART UNIT 335